

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,699	03/03/2000	Martin S Berger	B-66383	7109	
32914 GARDERE W	7590 03/31/200 YNNE SEWELL LLP	8	EXAM	IINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER			RUDY, ANDREW J		
1601 ELM ST	SGIVING TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201-4761		3687		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intoniou Cummon

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/518,699 BERGER, MARTIN S

Interview Summary						
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3687				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Andrew Joseph Rudy.	(3)					
(2) Jennifer Brooks (Reg. No. 51,501).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 March 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	t) applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>56,57,60,61 and 65</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>USPTO APS, Johnson</u> .	US 6,505,172.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Brooks discussed the features presented from the claim language that provided a line of demarcation over the prior and of record. Ms. Brooks will provide claim language and REMARKS to define over the prior and of record. Mr. Rudy is open to this avenue of prosecution. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Andrew Joseph Rudy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 36 Examiner's signature, if requi					

Attachment to a signed Office action.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080326